# **BELLSOUTH**

**BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.** 

333 Commerce Street

**Suite 2101** 

Nashville, TN 37201-3300

joelle.phillips@bellsouth.com

-01 MU 1 FN 1 80

Joelle J. Phillips Attorney

615 214 6311 Fax 615 214 7406

November 1, 2001

### **VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Mr. David Waddell, Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re:

Petition for Expedited Review of Growth Code Denial by the North American Number Plan Administration Relating to University of

Tennessee - Chattanooga

Docket No. 01-00957

Dear Mr. Waddell:

Enclosed are the original and thirteen copies of BellSouth's Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial as it relates to the University of Tennessee - Chattanooga.

Cordially

Joelle Phillips

JP/jej

**Enclosure** 

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY Nashville, Tennessee

In Re:

Petition for Expedited Review of Growth Code Denials by the North American Numbering Plan Administration Relating to University of

Tennessee - Chattanooga

Docket No. 01-00957

Filed: November 1, 2001

PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), pursuant to rules adopted

by the FCC for challenging determinations of the North American Numbering Plan

Administrator ("NANPA"), petitions the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the

"Authority") for review of NANPA's denial of BellSouth's application for use of

central office code numbering resources in the 423 area code.

BellSouth respectfully shows the Authority as follows:

BellSouth is a telecommunications public utility regulated by the 1.

Authority providing intraLATA, local exchange telecommunications services in the

Chattanooga Rate Center.

2. On March 31, 2000, the Federal Communications Authority issued a

Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making relating to numbering

resource optimization ("FCC 00-104" or the "March Order"). On December 29,

2000, the FCC issued its Second Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration in CC

Docket No. 96-98 and CC Docket No. 99-200, and Second Further Notice of

418017

Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 99-200 ("FCC 00-429" or the "December Order"). These FCC orders addressed issues and strategies relating to the efficient use of numbering resources.

- 3. In FCC 00-104 and FCC 00-429, the FCC announced rules and sought comment in an effort to implement uniform standards governing requests for telephone numbering resources in order to increase efficiency in the use of existing telephone numbers and to slow further exhaustion of existing numbers under the NANP.
- 4. Among other things, FCC 00-104 adopted a revised standard for assessing a carrier's need for numbering resources by requiring rate center based utilization rates to be reported to NANPA. The FCC further required that to qualify for access to new numbering resources, applicants must establish that existing inventory within the applicant's rate center will be exhausted within six months of the application. Prior to this ruling, the Central Office Code Assignment Guidelines, used by the industry and NANPA to make code assignments, required the applicant's existing number inventory within the applicant's serving switch to exhaust within six months of the code application on order for a code to be assigned.
- 5. This shift to a "rate center" basis for determining the need for new numbering resources was intended to "more accurately reflect how numbering resources are assigned" and to allow "carriers to obtain numbering resources in response to specific customer demands." FCC Order ¶105. BellSouth has sought

reconsideration of the MTE rule before the FCC on two separate occasions. The most recent petition for reconsideration was filed on March 12, 2001 and has yet to be addressed by the FCC.

- 6. On or about September 18, 2001, BellSouth submitted Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Request Part 1, and the CO Code Assignment Months to Exhaust Certification Worksheet, to NANPA to obtain NXX resources necessary to meet the demands of its customer, University of Tennessee Chattanooga ("UT"). The request and worksheet are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B" respectively.
- 7. The reservation request was for a growth code in the 423 NPA area code, in response to UT's request for a single NXX for its Direct Inward Dial ("DID") numbers and the ability to assign those DID numbers in five specific thousands groups within that NXX code. UT cited its desire to reduce its customers' confusion on the current service arrangement as its basis for this request. However, BellSouth did not have sufficient number resources available within its inventory in the Chattanooga Rate Center, and, accordingly, BellSouth was unable to provide UT with sufficient numbers to meet its needs. For this reason, BellSouth sought the numbering resources as noted above.
- 8. BellSouth completed the applications in accordance with NANPA's Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines and completed the necessary Months to Exhaust Certification Worksheet required by NANPA.

- 9. Based upon the Chattanooga rate center that was used to calculate BellSouth's months-to-exhaustion, BellSouth's rate center numbering resources would exhaust in approximately 31.88 months.
- 10. Despite the fact that BellSouth's entire Chattanooga rate center may not exhaust for 31.88 months, BellSouth is unable to provide the requested service through its switches that serves UT within the Chattanooga rate center. This is because the individual switch that serves this customer within the Chattanooga rate center does not have sufficient number resources to meet the customer's request.
- 11. On or about October 18, 2001, NANPA's Central Office Code Administration denied the reservation request on the grounds that BellSouth had not met the rate center based months-to-exhaust criteria now set forth in the Central Office Code (NXX) Guidelines, notwithstanding the fact that BellSouth does not have the numbering resources needed to satisfy its customers' demands in the switches at issue. That decision is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 12. BellSouth's inability to provide this large business customer with the requested numbers within the same NXX prevents BellSouth from providing the quality of service this customer desires and expects, and thereby prevents UT from providing its customers with the quality of service that it wishes. (Correspondence from UT is attached as Exhibit "D").
- 13. Granting BellSouth's request for numbering resources would not materially impact exhaustion of available numbers in the 423 NPA. In fact,

BellSouth calculates that supplying the numbers required to meet UT's needs will affect the projected exhaust date of the 423 NPA by less than one month. According to NANPA, based on the 2001 NRUF and NPA Exhaust Analysis - June 1, 2001 Update, the projected exhaust date of the 423 NPA is the 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter, 2004.

- 14. Both FCC 00-104 and NANPA's Central Office Code (NXX) Guidelines provide that state regulatory authorities have the power and authority to review NANPA's decision to deny a request for numbering resources. See FCC 00-104, Appendix A, Final Rules, § 52.15(g) (3) (iv) ("The carrier may challenge the NANPA's decision to the appropriate state regulatory authority"); NANPA Central Office Code (NXX) Guidelines § 13.0 ("Appeals may include but are not limited to one or more of the following options: . . C. The CO Code Administrator(s) and code holders/applicants may pursue the disagreement with the appropriate governmental/regulatory body").
- 15. State regulatory agencies in both North Carolina and Florida have recognized their jurisdiction and authority to review NANPA denials and to order the release of numbering resources to meet specific customer needs. The North Carolina Utilities Commission has ordered NANPA to provide BellSouth numbering resources needed to meet the service requirements of Guilford County Government and Corning, Inc., even though BellSouth had been unable to satisfy the required months-to-exhaust criteria. See In the Matter of Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for Review of NANPA Denial of Application for

Numbering Resources, Docket No. P-55, Sub 1268, Order Ruling on the BellSouth Petition (attached as Exhibit "E"). Similarly, on May 1, 2001, the Florida Public Service Commission voted to accept the recommendation of its staff that NANPA should be ordered to release numbering resources to BellSouth in order to enable BellSouth to meet the needs of a customer requesting 2500 numbers, even though months-to-exhaust criteria. the satisfy not BellSouth could 010309-TL - Petition by BellSouth No. Docket Recommendation Re: Telecommunications, Inc. for an Expedited Review of the NANPA Denial of a Central Office Code for the Orlando Magnolia Switch (attached as Exhibit "F").

- 16. The Authority, and not the FCC, is the most appropriate body to address this appeal. As noted above, the Authority has been granted jurisdiction to hear appeals from NANPA's decisions regarding numbering resources. Any jurisdiction of the FCC to do the same is merely concurrent with the jurisdiction of the Authority. BellSouth believes that the Authority can more quickly address the numbering problem facing UT and BellSouth, and, because time is of the essence to the customer, BellSouth believes it is appropriate to pursue this matter in the forum that can most quickly address the issue.
- 17. Under earlier months-to-exhaust procedures used by NANPA, waivers or exceptions were granted where customer hardships could be demonstrated or where the service provider's inventory did not have a block of sequential numbers large enough to meet the customer's specific request. Under existing procedures, NANPA looks at the number of months-to-exhaust for the entire rate center without

any exceptions. The current process for review is arbitrary and results in decisions contrary to the public interest and decisions that do not necessarily preserve the efficient use of telephone numbers or postpone dates of exhaust. Moreover, the denial of sufficient numbering resources to BellSouth to meet UT's request is inconsistent with the FCC's position that "[u]nder no circumstances should consumers be precluded from receiving telecommunications services of their choice from providers of their choice for want of numbering resources." FCC 00-429 at ¶ 61. By refusing to grant numbering resources sufficient to meet UT's needs, the NANPA is preventing UT from obtaining the service of its choice from its carrier of choice, BellSouth.

18. Unfortunately, BellSouth's experience with the NANPA denial relating to UT's request is unlikely to be the last time this problem arises. [BellSouth has already lost one customer in this rate center when BellSouth was unable to obtain sufficient numbering resources to meet that customer's needs. BellSouth was unable to appeal the NANPA denial within the customer's time constraints]. Notwithstanding customer need for a specific numbering arrangement, BellSouth's analysis indicates that BellSouth will be unable to meet the six months-to-exhaust threshold at the rate center level in time to obtain adequate numbering resources to serve this customer absent relief from the Authority. This situation will result in BellSouth's inability to respond to its customer's needs for specific numbering resources.

### CONCLUSION

19. For the reasons articulated above, BellSouth respectfully urges the Authority to direct the NANPA to provide the requested numbers to BellSouth to enable BellSouth to meet the specific requirements of UT in order that UT may receive the service of its choice from the provider of its choice to meet its telecommunications needs.

WHEREFORE, BellSouth requests:

- 1. The Authority review the decision of the NANPA to deny BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources; and
- 2. The Authority direct the NANPA to provide numbers to BellSouth to meet the specific requirements of UT in the Chattanooga 423 NPA.

Respectfully submitted,

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Guy M. Hicks

Joelle Phillips

333 Commerce Street, Suite 2101 Nashville, Tennessee 37201-3300

(615) 214-6311

EXHIBIT "A"

### Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Request • Part 1

Effective May 18, 1998

chattanoogs.doc

_	
	GENERAL INFORMATION  Contact Information:
	Children in a manage.
	Code Applicant:
	Company/Entity Name: Barbara Brown
	Addresse: 235 <b>65 - 675 West Paschires Street</b>
	Address: 2356 - 678 West Paschires Street City, State, Zip: Atlanta, Gs. 30378
	Phone: 770-966-0468 FAX: 770-965-9413 E-Mail: Darbara, Printer and
	Code Administrator: Name: Charyl Dixon
	Name: <u>Charyl Dixon</u> Address: <u>1500 Butter Street, Suite 750</u>
	City, State, Zip: Concerti, CA 94529
	Phone: 928-363-8746 FAX: 825-383-4761
	AND A COLUMN ATTA ATTA ATTA ATTA ATTA ATTA
.Z	NPA: 423 LATA 472 OCN: \$419 Switching Identification (Switching Entity/POI)*:CHTGTNDTDS8
	MARIN CARLES NUMBE: CHATTANOOGA REIS CEGIST, CRATTANOOGA,
	Tandam Canadida Ca P BST Tandam Homing CLU: CJS 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Route same as: NPA: 423 NXX: 622 Rate Center same as: NPA 423 NXX 622
	Dates: Date of Application: 09/18/01 Requested Effective Date: ASAP
1.4	Type of company/entity requesting the code:
	e_ LEC b) Type of service:C.O.CODE _DEDICATED CODE FOR UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE
	(e.g., Cellular - Type 2)
	c) is certification required? Yes No
	1) If no, explain:
	2) Doss your company have certification? Yes X No (CPCN, etc.)  i) If yes, what type? FRANCHISE PROVIDER (CPCN, etc.)
	d) Code Assignment Proference (Optional)
	e) Codes that are undesirable, if any
1.6	Type of Request (Initial, growth, etc. GROWTH
	•••
	NPA Jeopardy Criteria Apply: Yes NoX
4 5	Code request for new application (Explain): DEDICAED CODE FOR UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE
	Part 2 is sitached Part 2 is not attachedX for RDBS & BRIDS*0
1 h	reby certify that the above information requesting an NXX code is true and accurate to the best of my
	wiedne and that this application has been prepared in accordance with the Central Circle College ( Tary
A٤	ignment Guidelines in effect as of May 18, 19981.
	Rockers Brown BST CODE ADMINISTRATOR Sept 18, 2001
<u>o</u> l	Barbara Brawn Bart CODE ADMINISTRATOR Sept 16, 2001
-	havid to detect that intermonnection errangements and facilities need to be in place prior to activation of a
	k - 1.4 k - 2.44 E 25.41 (M.C. 2000) ACCION ANTONINAIS ALEX INCLININA INCLININA DE DI PIPER PIÈ IN ERITTENTI EL P

It should be noted that interconnection arrangements and facilities need to be in place prior to activation or a code

EXHIBIT "B"

# CO CODE ASSIGNMENT

# MONTHS TO EQUAUST CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET - TH LAME

a lie lood	Advantagement to be used for Barnests for Additional Codes for Groudhi	Correspondent Name - Polity County
alia land		Common No
		9/12/2019

423-208,121,115,124,345, 480,485,490,498,510,513,5N,521,550,553,311,114,155, 197,89. Rate Center: Challa noosa

A. Telephone Numbers (TNs) Available for Assignment (See Glossery<sup>3</sup>):  $Q_1^0.062$ 

THE BOT Code Administrator

įę March ft. March ft. March fo. March ff. March ff. March ff. March ff. March ff.

Telephone No.: 770-966-0868 FAX No.: 710-966-9813

29.35 2935 2444 2958 260 क्ट्र Provious 6-month growth œ HAVE HAVE HAVE उर्ण अध्य अध्य अन्तर क्रमा क्रमा क्रमा उर्गा अर्था Forecast - Next months 1-12<sup>5</sup>

Telectrone Numbers (TNS) Available for Assignment Average Monthly Forecast (D) Months to Exhaust a

×

= 31.88 mentho

91063 3044

Total Numbering Resources in Applicant's Inventory

Telephone Numbers (TNS) Assigned

F. Unitertian Level? =

ųį

۵

Ü

Explanation. Dedicated tota for Mainesaity of Leuresasse

A capy of this workshow's required to the substitute the Code Administrator, for sacific purposes, it must be in the septiant's filter.

Deflations of terms coay to found in the Chantery smallers of the Central Office Code (FOOQ Auriginated Outsidense.) Report on all necessions for the requested graphsplic serie, including newly-experied codes

erent is such pressus mests, starting with the reset datest courts so blants F1, and Ments S5 ex the country crush. Forecast of 184s seedable such Schoutsganooth, starting with the masternant march no Mouth \$4.

with "Marries to Esternal" reset to tens then er equal to 6 martin, (FCC 60-104, § 52.15 (\$ (\$) (\$)). ad an additional CO Code (FOOQ) for go

THE SECTION (FCC SO TAX SECTS SECTION SE

EXHIBIT "C"

# Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Request - Part3 Effective May 18, 1998

Code Request Tracking Number: 423-130001

Administrator's Response/Confirmation				
Date of Application: September 28, 2001	Date of Receipt: October R. 2001			
Date of Response: October 18, 2001	Effective Date:			
Company/Entity Name: BELLSOUTH TELECO	MM INC DRA SOUTH CENTRAL RELL YET			
Code Administrator Contact Informations				
Terah Adger Signature of Code Administrator	Phone: 9253638705			
Terah Adam				
Name (print)	Fax: 9213638714			
8 NPA: 423	Code Assigned: Date of NXX Code Assignment:			
n. Switch Identification (Switching Entity/POI)	CHTGTNDTDS0_ Rate Cepter: CHATTNOOGA			
b. The Code Administrator is is not X re	spousible for inputting Part 2 information into RDBS and BRIDS.			
c. Routing and Rating information complete: Additional RDBS and BRIDS information no No	Yes No X			
d. To be published in the LERG and TMP by	teds to be received by the code administrator no later than			
Code Reserved:	Date of Reservation:			
Your code will be honored until				
Switch Identification (Switching Entity / POI	Λ:			
Form incomplete				
Additional information required in the follow	ing anction(a):			
	MTE Workshart, #E = 31.88) 2) Mandatory fields on a Months to			
Exhaust are inaccurate or incomplete	rel a waterman and a trade the manda of a water of			
X Form complete, code request denied				
Explanation:				
Assignment activity suspended by the				
Explanation;				
Further Action;				
X NPA in jeopardy: Yes No X				
If yes, refer to Section 7 of the assignment gui	delines,			
Change/Disconnect List:				
codes must demonstrate that existing codes within the not completed on your Month's To Exhaust Workshee	Assignment Guidelines, Section 4.2.1, code holders requesting growth rate center will exhaust within 6.0 months. In addition, Section A is a CIN'S Available for Assignment). If you are in disagreement with the otral Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines for the appeals			

EXHIBIT "D"

# THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA



Communication Services
Dept 4054
615 McCallie Avenue
Chattaniogs, TN 37403-2598

(423) 755-4784 FAX: (423) 785-2200

August 24, 2001

David Patterson
BellSouth Business
410 North Cedar Blutt Moad
Suite 300
Knozville, Tennessee 3/923

Dear Dentq

Thank you for your excellent service as the UTC BellSouth account representative. I appreciate the longevity that you have brought to this position.

To reduce customer contusion on talephone service The University of Tennesses at Unattenoods requests Metillouth assign a single NMX for DID numbers owned by the University. We would like to have assigned the 1988, 2008, 4000, 5000, 5000 groups with this single NMX. Currently the University has active numbers assigned to the following NMXs, 755, 757, 785 and 321. Several of these are shared with ether large bell-south customers and by treating up the UTU portion of the exchanges you could improve the service to your other customs.

Please keep the University informed on the status of this request so they we will have sufficient time to implement the change.

Sincerely

Assistant Vies Changelier Intermation Technology

EXHIBIT "E"

### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

**DOCKET NO. P-55, SUB 1268** 

### BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of	
Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.,)	ORDER RULING ON THE
for Review of NANPA Denial of Application )	BELLSOUTH PETITION
for Numbering Resources )	

BY THE COMMISSION: On March 6, 2001, BellSouth Telecommunication, Inc. (BellSouth) requested that the Commission review the North American Numbering Plan Administrator's (NANPA) decision denying the reservation of two central office codes (NXXs) in the 910 and 836 Numbering Plan Areas (NPAs). Reservation of one NXX was requested for Guilford County Government and one NXX was requested for Corning, Inc., from NANPA.

NANPA, in reaching its decision to deny the numbering resources, stated that BellSouth's Month-to-Exhaust worksheet shows that BellSouth has more than a six month supply of numbering resources in the two central offices which would be providing services to these customers, respectively. However, BellSouth stated that it does not have in its inventory 10,000 sequential numbers (i.e., one NXX) in each NPA available to meet the service requirement for these two customers.

There were no comments filed on this matter before the Commission.

WHEREUPON, the Commission now reaches the following

### CONCLUSIONS

After careful consideration, the Commission concludes that NeuStar, Inc., as NANPA, should provide BellSouth the numbering resources needed to meet the needs of Guilford County Government and Corning, Inc. The Commission notes that BellSouth, as a telecommunications service provider, should be allowed to meet its specific customer requirements. Furthermore, the reservations of numbering resources in this instance represent identifiable and known market requirements. The Commission also recognizes that NANPA in reaching its decision must recognize and use industry guidelines to ensure consistent decision-making among all industry participants.

### IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as follows:

1. That NANPA shall provide BellSouth the numbering resources it needs to meet the service requirements for Guilford County Government and Corning, Inc.

- 2. That the numbering resources assigned by BellSouth to Guilford County Government and Corning, Inc., shall be done in a sequential numbering manner to optimize these resources.
- 3. That these numbering resources shall be subject to reclamation if not used within the allowable reservation period according to industry guidelines.

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION.

This the 10th day of April, 2001.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Leneva D. Kigpen

Geneva S. Thigpen, Chief Clerk

dh040901.02

EXHIBIT "F"

### **WARNING:**

Changes in appearance and in display of formulas, tables, and text may have occurred during translation of this document into an electronic medium. This HTML document may not be an accurate version of the official document and should not be relied on.

For an official paper copy, contact the Florida Public ServiceCommission at <u>contact@psc.state.fl.us</u> or call (850) 413-6770. There may be a charge for the copy.

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE

### COMMISSION

In re: Petition for expedited DOCKET NO. 010309-TL review of North American Plan ORDER NO. PSC-01-1146-PAA-TL Administration's (NANPA) denial ISSUED: May 21, 2001 of application for use of central office code numbering resources or NXX codes in Orlando Magnolia switch by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

### The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., Chairman J. TERRY DEASON LILA A. JABER BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER DIRECTING NANPA TO PROVIDE BELLSOUTH WITH A GROWTH CODE FOR THE ORLDFLMADS1 SWITCH

### BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

### BACKGROUND

On January 24, 2001, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) submitted an application to the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) for a central office (NXX) code for the ORLDFLMADS1 switch in the Orlando rate center. The code request was made to fulfill a request made by a specific customer who is in need of 2,500 consecutive Direct Inward Dialing (DID) numbers in an NXX with a four as the last digit (NX4).

The Orlando rate center consists of six central offices and seven switches [(Azalea Park (ORLDFLAPDS0), Colonial (ORLDFLCLDS0), Magnolia (ORLDFLMADS1 and ORLDFLMA42E), Pinecastle (ORLDFLPCDS0), Pinehills (ORLDFLMADS0), and Sand Lake (ORLDFLSADS0)]. On February 6, 2001, NANPA denied BellSouth's request for a NXX code for the ORLFLMADS1 switch because BellSouth had not met the rate center months-to-exhaust (MTE) criteria currently required to obtain a growth code. On March 9, 2001, BellSouth filed a petition for expedited review of NANPA's denial of its application.

We are vested with jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 364.01 and 364.16(4), Florida Statutes, and 47

### **ANALYSIS**

As mentioned above, BellSouth submitted an application to the NANPA for a NXX code for the ORLFLMADS1 switch in the Orlando rate center and was denied because BellSouth had not met the rate center MTE criteria currently required to obtain a growth code. Subsequently, BellSouth submitted a Petition to us for expedite review of NANPA denial of its application. In its prayer for relief, BellSouth requests us to review NANPA's denial and direct NANPA to release a growth code for the ORLFLMADS1 switch in the Orlando rate center.

Prior to March 31, 2000, carriers submitting an application for a growth code had to certify that existing codes associated with that switch, Point of Interface (POI), or rate center would exhaust within 12 months. In jeopardy Numbering Plan Areas (NPAs), applicants seeking a growth code had to certify that existing NXX codes would exhaust within six months.

Pursuant to Order No. FCC 00-104<sup>1</sup> applicants must now show the MTE criteria by rate center instead of by switch, and have no more than a six-month inventory of telephone numbers. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(3)(iii):

All service providers shall maintain no more than a six-month inventory of telephone numbers in each rate center or service area in which it provides telecommunications service.

We believe that the new MTE criteria creates a disadvantage for carriers with multiple switch rate centers because it is now based on rate centers, rather than switches. One switch in a multiple-switch rate center may be near exhaust while the average MTE for the rate center is above six months, thus preventing a carrier from obtaining a growth code for the switch near exhaust. For example, at the time of the NANPA denial of BellSouth's code request, the Orlando rate center MTE was 14.74 months with a 76.7% utilization level, while the MTE for the Magnolia-ORLDFLMADS1 switch was four months. BellSouth has stated that "Months-to-Exhaust criteria on a per rate center basis establishes a requirement that is difficult, and in some cases, impossible to meet."

We conclude that the code denial also poses a possible barrier to competition. A customer desiring service from BellSouth may have to turn to another carrier simply because BellSouth cannot meet the MTE rate center requirement. Another carrier who may have just one switch in the rate center, would have an advantage and may be able to obtain a growth code to provide the service. In Order No. DA 01-386<sup>2</sup>, the FCC stated:

Under no circumstances should consumers be precluded from receiving telecommunications services of their choice from providers of <sup>1</sup>Report and Order, CC Docket No. 99-200, <u>In the Matter of Number Resource Optimization</u>, Order No. FCC 00-104 (March 31, 2000) <sup>2</sup>DA 01-386, CC Docket No. 99-200, CC Docket No. 96-98, In the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization, <u>Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996</u> (February 14, 2001) their choice for want of numbering resources.

FCC No. DA 01-386 at ¶11.

We find that another dilemma created with the new MTE rate center criteria is rate center consolidation. The FCC promotes rate center consolidation as a number conservation measure, and encourages states to consolidate rate centers wherever possible. The problem arises when you attempt to consolidate small rate centers which may have one switch and end up with one rate center with multiple switches. In Order No. FCC 00-429<sup>3</sup>, the FCC states:

Some ILECs suggest, however, that the utilization threshold should be calculated on a per-switch basis in rate centers that have multiple switches, particularly where they have not

deployed LNP capability. According to BellSouth, in the absence of thousands-block number pooling, numbers cannot be shared easily among multiple switches in the same rate center. They assert that there are technical constraints on their ability to share numbering resources among multiple switches within the same rate center and that a low utilization rate in one or more switches could prevent it from meeting the rate center utilization threshold. SBC argues in its comments that the utilization threshold should be calculated at the "lowest code assignment point" the rate center, where there is only one switch, or the switch, where there is more than one in a rate center.

### Order No. FCC 00-429 at ¶ 32.

We believe that number pooling may assist in obtaining growth codes in a multiple switch rate center, however, BellSouth cannot support intra-service provider porting between switches until thousands-block pooling is implemented in the Orlando Metropolitan Statistical Area. The 407 NPA currently does not have a pooling trial, but new area code relief for this NPA has already begun with the initial industry planning meeting which took place April 3, 2001. Number pooling for this area, along with other number conservation measures for the 407 NPA, will be examined in that docket.

We note that two other state commissions have addressed NANPA's denial of growth codes. BellSouth included in its petition an order issued by the State of North Carolina Utilities Commission addressing NANPA's denial of two growth codes because BellSouth did not meet the new MTE rate center requirements.<sup>4</sup> BellSouth had two large customers, in need of NXX codes. One customer requested 10,000 sequential numbers, and the other customer needed to utilize approximately 6,000 numbers immediately for the establishment of a call center. The North Carolina Commission overturned NANPA's denial of the two NXX codes, and directed NANPA to provide numbers to meet the specific requests of Microsoft and Duke Energy.

The Public Service Commission of Wisconsin addressed a similar issue in its Docket 5-TK-101, Ameritech's Challenge of Neustar's Denial of a Request for a Central Office Growth Code at the Appleton Exchange, mailed December 22, 2000. In that case, Ameritech was denied a growth code because its MTE estimate was 7.4 months based on the rate center criteria, which is above the six month maximum MTE required by 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(3)(iii). Ameritech requested the growth code to fulfill a request by two large customers who each wanted five-digit dialing for internal calls and specifically requested to have an eight as the third digit of the NXX code. In <sup>3</sup>Second Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 99- 200 and CC Docket No. 96-98, In the Mater of Numbering Resource Optimization, et. al., Order No. FCC 00-429 (December 29, 2000) <sup>4</sup> State of North Carolina Utilities Commission, Order Granting Reserved Numbers, issued January 16, 2001, in Docket No. P-55, Sub 1250, In the Matter of Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for Review of NANPA Denial of Application for Numbering Resources. its Order, the Wisconsin PSC overturned NANPA's decision to deny a growth code, and directed NANPA to provide Ameritech with a growth code.

A procedure is available to carriers who are denied growth codes because of the rate center MTE requirement. Addressing NXX growth code denials, 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(3)(iv), states, in part:

The carrier may challenge the NANPA's decision to the appropriate state regulatory commission. The state regulatory commission may affirm or overturn the NANPA's decision to withhold numbering resources from the carrier based on its determination of compliance with the reporting and numbering resource application requirements herein.

BellSouth has provided us with the name of the customer requesting the 2,500 codes, copies of its NANPA application for a new growth code, copies of its MTE worksheet for the Orlando rate center, and copies of the Part 3 form from NANPA denying the code. We contacted BellSouth's proposed customer via telephone and verified that the customer wants BellSouth as its provider of service. We also verified with NANPA that there would be minimal impact on the 407 NPA by releasing a new growth code for this switch. We also reviewed the BellSouth utilization data for the ORLDFLMADS1 switch in the Orlando rate center to verify that BellSouth has no available codes to meet this specific customer's needs.

In evaluating BellSouth's petition, we have utilized the following factors and concluded that:

- 1) BellSouth has demonstrated that it has a customer in need of numbering resources;
- 2) BellSouth has shown that it is unable to provide services to a potential customer because of NANPA's denial of the NXX code;
- 3) There are potential competitive concerns because of the NANPA code denial since the potential customer cannot choose the provider of his choice; and,
- 4) There would be minimal impact to the 407 NPA by releasing a new growth code.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, we find it appropriate to overturn NANPA's decision to deny a growth code, and direct NANPA to provide BellSouth with a growth code for the ORLDFLMADS1 switch as soon as possible. We also find that once the specific customer needs are met, BellSouth shall keep as many of the remaining blocks as possible in the new NXX uncontaminated for future number pooling.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that North American Numbering Plan Administrator shall provide BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with a growth code for the ORLDFLMADS1 switch in the Orlando rate center as soon as possible. It it is further

ORDERED that BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. shall maintain as many of the remaining blocks as possible in the new NXX uncontaminated for future number pooling once the specific customer needs are met. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036, *Florida Administrative Code*, is received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings or Judicial Review" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this Docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 21st day of May, 2001.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of Records and Reporting

By: /s/ Kay Flynn Kay Flynn, Chief Bureau of Records

This is a facsimile copy. Go to the Commission's Web site, <a href="http://www.floridapsc.com">http://www.floridapsc.com</a> or fax a request to 1-850-413-7118, for a copy of the order with signature.

(SEAL) PAC

### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), *Florida Statutes*, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, *Florida Statutes*, as well as

the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, *Florida Administrative Code*. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on <u>June 11, 2001</u>.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

This document was automatically converted to HTML using a program custom-written by the FPSC. If you have any questions or comments regarding this conversion, you can send e-mail to the programmers Allison Orange and Chip Orange.